

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**In re application of:** Plesko *et al.***Application No.** 10/607,591**Filed:** June 27, 2003**Confirmation No.** 5996**For:** TYPE SYSTEM FOR REPRESENTING  
AND CHECKING CONSISTENCY OF  
HETEROGENEOUS PROGRAM  
COMPONENTS DURING THE PROCESS  
OF COMPILEATION**Examiner:** Thuy Chan Dao**Art Unit:** 2192**Attorney Reference No.** 3382-64706-01**FILED VIA EFS ON**  
**January 29, 2010****FILED VIA EFS**  
**COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS****COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Responsive to the Examiner's statements of reasons for allowance accompanying the Notice of Allowability dated December 29, 2009, the Applicants comment as follows.

Applicants agree that claims 1, 3-7, 9-10, 12, 14-15, 17-21, 24, 27-29, and 31-37 are allowable over the cited art. To the extent the statements of reasons for allowance use language not identically appearing in the claims, Applicants respectfully note that the claims are not limited by such language, but rather the actual language of the claims speaks for itself.

Applicants also note that each of the allowed claims sets forth a separately patentable invention.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600  
121 S.W. Salmon Street  
Portland, Oregon 97204  
Telephone: (503) 595-5300  
Facsimile: (503) 595-5301

By \_\_\_\_\_ / Mark W. Wilson /  
Mark W. Wilson  
Registration No. 63,126